An. Code, sec. 14. 1904, sec. 14. 1888, sec. 14. 1845, ch. 176, sec. 5.

Any person furnishing work or materials, or both, and complying with the provisions of this article shall be entitled to the lien hereby given without regard to the amount of his claim.

Under this section and in light of sec. 24, fact that plaintiff's claim is less than twenty dollars is immaterial. Watts v. Whittington, 48 Md. 357.

An. Code, sec. 15. 1904, sec. 15. 1888, sec. 15. 1838, ch. 205, sec. 9. 1845, ch. 287, sec. 7.

The lien hereby given shall be preferred to all mortgages, judgments, liens and encumbrances which attach upon the said building or the grounds covered thereby subsequently to the commencement thereof; and all the mortgages and liens other than liens which have attached thereto prior to the commencement of the said building and which by the laws of this State are required to be recorded shall be postponed to said lien, unless recorded prior to the commencement of said building.

When a mechanics' lien attaches prior to a judgment, former is superior claim and a purchaser under a sci. fa. to enforce judgment takes subject to mechanics' lien. Held that validity of mechanics' lien claim was established for purposes of decree and that it was not subject to an attack in a proceeding of character of case at bar upon grounds alleged in bill by one holding a lien like that of appellee. Long Contracting

Co. v. Albert, 116 Md. 115.

This section has no application to deeds, and where a deed creating a lease for ninety-nine years, renewable forever, is executed prior to commencement of a building, although recorded thereafter, mechanics' hen attaches only to leasehold interest. Rights of bona fide purchaser of reversion. Beehler v. Ijams, 72 Md. 196. Cf. Miller v. Barroll, 14 Md. 183.

Where prior to commencement of a building, a judgment is confessed as security

for certain future advances, the judgment has priority over a mechanics' lien, although advances were not actually made until after building was commenced. Robinson v. Consolidated Real Estate Co., 55 Md. 111.

This section applies to a lien for materials, as well as for labor. This section applied. Rosenthal v. Maryland Brick Co., 61 Md 594 (explaining Robinson v. Consolidated Real Estate Co., 55 Md. 111). Cf. Heath v. Tyler, 44 Md. 317.

Where a machine against which mechanics' lien claim has been filed is sold in

equity en masse with real estate, with an agreement that the whole was to be sold free from incumbrances, lien claimant is entitled to share in proceeds, and to that end relative value of real estate and machinery may be proven. Wells v. Canton Co., 3 Md. 242 (overruling Jones v. Hancock, 1 Md. Ch. 190).

The lien of a machinist on a machine which he builds and then puts up in a factory

which is subject to a prior mortgage is subordinate to mortgage—see sec. 22. Denmead v. Bank of Baltimore, 9 Md. 183. And see Jones v. Hancock, 1 Md. Ch. 189. Cf. McKim v. Mason, 3 Md. Ch. 210

As to when the building has been commenced within meaning of this section, see Kelly v. Rosenstock, 45 Md. 392; Jean v. Wilson, 38 Md. 295; Brooks v. Lester, 36 Md. 70

This section has no application to vessels—see notes to sec. 47. The Marcelia Ann.

34 Fed. 143.

Cited but not construed in Filston Farm Co. v. Henderson, 106 Md. 373; McLaughlin v. Reinhart, 54 Md. 76.

Mechanics' liens are not impaired by exemptions from execution—art. 83, sec. 12, See sec. 9 and notes.

An. Code, sec. 16. 1904, sec. 16. 1888, sec. 16. 1838, ch. 205, sec. 8.

If the building against which any claim shall be filed under this article or any of the ground adjacent thereto shall be sold under judgment or decree on mortgage, or any other decree or process of any court of law or equity, or by a trustee of an insolvent debtor, before the extent of the lien of the claimant shall be ascertained as before directed, the court from